



Question

How does the integration of Information and Communications Technology (ICT) influence the adaptability and resilience of local labor markets in response to technological advancements?

In short, How does ICT integration affect local labor markets in response to technological advancements?

Methods

I have used two models to represent variations of the Solow growth model, which seeks to explain long-term economic growth. In both models, Y represents real GDP per capita (rgdpe), L denotes total employment, s represents the saving rate, n signifies the population growth rate, and d represents the depreciation rate.

Model 1:

$$\logigg(rac{Y_i}{L_i}igg) = a + b\log(s_i) + c\log(n_i + g_i + \delta_i) +$$

In this model, the logarithm of the ratio of real GDP per capita to total employment is regressed on the logarithm of the saving rate $\log(s)$ and the logarithm of the sum of population growth, technological progress, and depreciation $\log(n + g + d)$. This model assumes that technological progress (represented by g) and population growth (represented by n) affect output per worker alongside saving behavior.

Model 2:

$$\logigg(rac{Y_i}{L_i}igg) = a + b\log(s_i) + c\log(g_i) + d\log(n_i + c\log(g_i))$$

In this model, unlike Model 1, Model 2 separately considers the effects of technological progress and population growth on output per worker which are $\log(g)$ and $\log(n+d)$. Except that, the model is same as Model 1.

Data

The dataset for the research comprises 2019 data from the Penn World Table, focusing on 39 countries, including G20 members and others with similar economic profiles. This selection is based on a mix of economic indicators like real GDP output (rgdpo), population, employment (emp), average hours worked (avh), human capital (hc), capital stock (cn), total factor productivity (ctfp), labor share (labsh), and investment share of GDP (csh i), among others. These variables facilitate a comprehensive analysis of the technological impact on the labor market, emphasizing the nexus between technology integration, labor market flexibility, and economic resilience. The dataset's breadth ensures a detailed examination of global economic dynamics, offering insights into the varied effects of technology across different economic environments.

Tech Tides: Navigating ICT Integrations Impact on Labor Markets Jarif Ul Alam¹

¹ Department of Economics & Finance, College of Business, Louisiana Tech University

Results

My model's coefficients for the log of the saving rate (log s), log of technology growth using human capital (log g), and log of depreciation rate plus population growth (log nd) differ from the MRW findings in magnitude.

- Savings and Economic Growth: Higher saving rates are positively associated with economic growth, echoing the predictions of both my model and the MRW model, which suggest that savings can elevate income levels.
- Technology Growth vs. Human Capital: In contrast to the MRW model's findings on the positive contributions of human capital, my analysis for 2019 shows a negative correlation between technology growth (used as a proxy for human capital) and economic growth, indicating a complex relationship between technological advancements and economic performance.
- Depreciation and Population Growth Effects: My findings align with the Solow and MRW models' expectations that higher depreciation rates and population growth can negatively impact per capita income growth, highlighting the challenges of sustaining income growth without proportional increases in capital.

Regression Model Estimates		
	log(Y/L)	
	(1)	(2)
Log(S)	0.633*	0.750**
	(0.321)	(0.324)
Log(n+g+δ)	-0.351**	
	(0.166)	
Log(g)		-0.092
		(0.147)
Log(n+δ)		-0.294*
		(0.160)
Constant	11.833***	11.676***
	(0.498)	(0.748)
Observations	35	34
R ²	0.242	0.282
Adjusted R ²	0.194	0.210
Residual Std. Error	0.677 (df = 32)	0.667 (df = 30)
F Statistic	5.097^{**} (df = 2; 32)	3.925^{**} (df = 3; 30)
Note:	<i>p</i> <	<0.1; p<0.05; p<0.01

So, doing AIC (Akaike Information Criterion), BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion), or cross-validation, I found that the model2 best fits my data. Here is the final equation of the model with the coefficients:

 ϵ_i

 d_i)

$$\log\!\left(\frac{Y}{L}\right) = 11.\hat{6}75 +$$

The regression plot along with the actual plot given below:



In conclusion, my research underscores the nuanced relationship between technology, economic growth, and labor markets, drawing on 2019 data of 39 countries. It highlights the positive impact of saving rates on economic growth, consistent with the MRW model, while revealing a complex, negative correlation between technological growth and economic performance within the same period. This suggests that while technology drives efficiency, its benefits on per capita GDP are mediated by factors such as labor displacement and skill mismatches. Additionally, the negative effects of high depreciation and population growth rates accentuate the need for sustained investment in human capital and technology to counteract these challenges. Furthermore, the discrepancy between the coefficients highlights the multifaceted nature of investment and depreciation dynamics, influenced by institutional quality, investment efficiency, and policy interventions. The diverse coefficients across economic contexts necessitate tailored economic policies, prioritizing technological adoption, labor market flexibility, and education to ensure widespread economic gains from technological advancements.

(2): 407-437.



 $+ 0.\hat{7}50 \log(s) - 0.\hat{0}92 \log(g) - 0.\hat{2}94 \log(n+d)$

Conclusion

References

• Mankiw, N. Gregory, David Romer, and David N. Weil. 1992. "A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth." The Quarterly Journal of Economics 107